AD-A251 076

**USAARL Report No. 92-19** 





# Test and Evaluation Report of the Human Technology Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder Model COR-124

By

James E. Bruckart (Project Officer)

and

Joseph R. Licina (Project Officer)

**Biodynamics Research Division** 

Bill Olding (UES, Inc.)
Martin Quattlebaum (UES, Inc.)

92-14608

March 1992

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

**92** 6 03 020

United States Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362-5292

#### **Notice**

#### **Qualified** requesters

Qualified requesters may obtain copies from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. Orders will be expedited if placed through the librarian or other person designated to request documents from DTIC.

#### Change of address

Organizations receiving reports from the U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory on automatic mailing lists should confirm correct address when corresponding about laboratory reports.

#### Disposition

Destroy this document when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

#### Disclaimer

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation. Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial items.

Reviewed:

ROBERT W. WEIEN

MAJ, MC, SFS

Director, Biodynamics Research Division

ROGER W. WLLEY O.D., Ph.D.

Chairman, Scientific

Review Committee

Released for publication:

DAVID H. KARNEY Colonel, MC, SFS

Commanding

		THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188			
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
UNCLASSIFIED  2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADIN	IC SCHEDIII	16	Approved for public release, distribution			
			unlimited			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPO	RT NUMBE	R(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION REP	PORT NU	MBER(S)
USAARL Report No. 92-19	)					
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZA U.S. Army Aeromedical Re		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		ONITORING ORGANI Medical Resea		nd Development
Laboratory		SGRD-UAD-IE	U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command			
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code P.O. Box 577	e)		7b. ADDRESS (Cit	ty, State, and ZIP Co	ide)	
Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5	292			MD 21702-50	12	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING	·	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL		T INSTRUMENT IDEN		
ORGANIZATION		(If applicable)		fort under co 7-86-C-6215	ntrac	τ
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code,	)		10. SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBERS		
		1	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.		TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
			0603807A	3M463807D836	LC	201
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Test and Evaluation Report of the Human Technology Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder Model COR-124						
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	t D 71	-! P/11 01//	J W	in Outhalakar		·
	James E. Bruckart, Joseph R. Licina, Bill Olding, and Martin Quattlebaum  13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT			. PAGE COUNT		
Final FROM TO 1992 March 66						
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (				
FIELD GROUP SUB-C	ROUP	Electromagnetic aeromedical equ	-	ity, test and	i eval	uation,
	·	acromedical eq.				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)  The Human Technology Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder Model COR-124 was tested for electromagnetic interference/compatibility in the UH-60A helicopter under the U.S. Army Program for Testing and Evaluation of Equipment for Aeromedical Operations. The tests were conducted using current military and industrial standards and procedures for electromagnetic interference/compatibility and human factors. The Human Technology Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder Model COR-124 was found to be compatible with the U.S. Army medical evacuation UH-60A Blackhawk.						
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF A UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED	SAME AS R	PT. DTIC USERS	UNCLASSIF	CURITY CLASSIFICATIED	HON	<u></u>
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Chief, Scientific Information Center  22b. TELEPHONE (include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL (205) 255-6907 SGRD-UAX-SI						

# Table of contents

SECTION		PAGE
1. <u>EXE</u>	CUTIVE DIGEST	
1.1	Test objectives	1-1
1.2	Testing authority	1-2
1.3	Scope	1-2
1.4	Material description	1-3
1.5	Summary	1-3
1.6	Conclusion	1-4
2. <u>SUB</u>	<u>rests</u>	
2.1	Initial inspection	2-1
2.2	Battery life evaluation	2-1
2.3	Human factors evaluation (laboratory)	2-2
2.4	Altitude (low pressure) test	2-3
2.5	Vibration test	2-3
2.6	High temperature test	2-5
2.7	Low temperature test	2-6
2.8	Humidity test	2-7
2.9	Electromagnetic characteristics test	2-9
2.10	In-flight human factors evaluation	2-10
2.11	In-flight EMI/EMC characteristics test	
3. <u>SUP</u>	PORTING DOCUMENTATION  Detailed test information	STES URLEI DP43 TAB Unallocation 3-1 Justif Location

Dist

# Table of contents (Continued)

3.2	Test data
3.3	Criteria, significant problems, and 3-36 suggested improvements
3.4	References
3.5	Abbreviations
3.6	List of manufacturers
3.7	Distribution list

#### Section 1. Executive digest.

The Army program for Test and Evaluation of Aeromedical Equipment uses existing military standards (MIL-STD) and collective professional expertise to test and evaluate selected medical equipment proposed for use aboard Army aircraft. Equipment meeting these standards ensures the safety of the crew, patients, and aircraft by eliminating risks due to: (1) Interference by the medical equipment with aircraft systems/subsystems operation, (2) the aircraft system's interference with the operation of the medical equipment, (3) the medical equipment's susceptibility to environmental exposure, or (4) physical and/or functional incompatibility while in use on board selected rotary-wing aircraft. This program tests both developmental and nondevelopmental (off the shelf) medical equipment destined for use aboard Army medical evacuation (MEDEVAC) aircraft.

#### 1.1 TEST OBJECTIVES

- 1.1.1 To determine if the medical equipment is complete and operational per the manufacturer's operating instructions.
- 1.1.2 To ensure the electrical safety of the medical equipment.
- 1.1.3 To ensure the equipment will function as designed throughout the rated battery operation time.
- 1.1.4 To ensure the safety of the operator, the patient, and the aircrew.
- 1.1.5 To assess design considerations which could potentially contribute to an operator error.
- 1.1.6 To determine if the medical equipment can function as designed in a low pressure environment.
- 1.1.7 To determine the ability of the medical equipment to withstand the vibrational stresses expected in a rotary-wing flight environment without degradation or malfunction.
- 1.1.8 To determine the ability of the medical equipment to be stored and operated in a high temperature environment.
- 1.1.9 To determine the ability of the medical equipment to be stored and operated in a low temperature environment.
- 1.1.10 To determine the ability of the medical equipment to operate satisfactorily for short periods during exposure to high humidity conditions.

- 1.1.11 To assess the levels of electromagnetic emissions produced by the medical equipment within selected frequency ranges.
- 1.1.12 To assess the minimum electromagnetic susceptibility levels of the medical equipment within selected frequency ranges.
- 1.1.13 To assess the physical and/or functional compatibility of the medical equipment while in use on board the aircraft.
- 1.1.14 To assess the electromagnetic interference (EMI) and electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) characteristics of the medical equipment with the host aircraft and its installed systems.

#### 1.2 TESTING AUTHORITY

Research and Technology Work Unit Summary, dated 5 October 1989. Project number 3M463807D836, titled, <u>Army Program for Testing and Evaluation of Equipment for Aeromedical Operations</u>.

#### 1.3 SCOPE

- 1.3.1 This test was conducted at the United States Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory (USAARL), Cairns Army Airfield (CAAF), and designated test flight areas in and around Fort Rucker, Alabama.
- 1.3.2 The USAARL UH-60A aircraft, serial number 88-26069, with subsystems delineated in paragraph 3.2.2, was configured with the Human Technology Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder\*, model COR-124, and used as the test aircraft for the in-flight evaluation. The in-flight evaluation required 3.4 flight hours.
- 1.3.3 Laboratory testing was accomplished at USAARL using government furnished equipment (GFE) by Universal Energy Systems, Inc. (UES), under contract No. DAMD 17-86-C-6215.
- 1.3.4 Prior to flight testing, the following tests were accomplished: Acceptance inspection, equipment training, electromagnetic compatibility, human factors and safety, environmental compatibility, and in-flight compatibility.
- 1.3.5 An airworthiness release (AWR) dated 27 Dec 1991 was received from the U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command (AVSCOM) prior to the in-flight testing of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.

<sup>\*</sup> See list of manufacturers

#### 1.4 MATERIAL DESCRIPTION

The Human Technology Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder is a portable core temperature measurement and recording device. It is used in conjunction with a disposable temperature sensor (DTS). The DTS is an ingestible pill approximately 3/4 inch in length and 3/8 inch in diameter. The DTS transmits a magnetic field with a frequency corresponding to its temperature. A bandoleer-type antenna is worn on the torso of the patient which receives the transmitted signal from the DTS. The useable temperature range of the Cortemp Recorder is 50 to 122°F (10 to 50°C). The Cortemp Recorder will display "NO READ 05" or "WEAK SIGNAL" if the temperature exceeds the temperature range or a weak signal is detected. The Cortemp Recorder is powered by a 9-volt alkaline battery. A 25-pin RS-232 printer port is located on the front of the unit.

#### 1.5 SUMMARY

#### 1.5.1 Laboratory testing

- 1.5.1.1 Battery Life Evaluation: The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was operated with a new 9-volt alkaline battery sampling the temperature sensor every 30 seconds. In this mode, the unit operated an average of 14 hours and 50 minutes among three trials. This agrees with the manufacturer's specification of 12 to 26 hours operation with a new battery.
- 1.5.1.2 Human Factors Evaluation: The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was found to be unsatisfactory in five categories of the evaluation criteria. These included visual displays, controls, maintainability, labels and coding, and safety. The front panel labels do not accurately indicate the functions of some of the switches. The marker button on the top of the unit is not labeled. There is little or no tactile feedback from the front panel switches. The power switch is part of the battery compartment cover. The cover may be misplaced making the unit inoperative and, while the unit is in place, it is not possible to turn the unit on or off. The manual has several errors and there are no manufacturer specifications listed in the manual.
- 1.5.1.3 Environmental Tests: The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder can be expected to perform in a variety of environmental conditions. Its performance was found to be satisfactory in all stages of the environmental testing. The requirements for environmental tests are established in MIL-STD-810D, Methods 500.2 (altitude), 514.3 (vibration), 501.2 (high temperature), 502.2 (low temperature), and 507.2 (humidity).
- 1.5.1.4 Radiated Emissions Tests (REO2): The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder may be unsatisfactory for use in certain EMI sensitive

environments. Narrowband (NB) and broadband (BB) radiated emissions were detected in the test frequency ranges. Some narrowband and broadband emissions exceeded the test limits. Emission limits are set forth in MIL-STD-461A, Notice 4.

1.5.1.5 Radiated Susceptibility Test (RS03): The Human Technology Cortemp Recorder may be unsuitable for use in the presence of EMI. The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was found to be susceptible to radio frequency interference (RFI) in the testing range and magnitude.

#### 1.5.2 <u>In-flight testing</u>

- 1.5.2.1 During the in-flight human factors evaluation, the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was found to be unsatisfactory in the categories of the evaluation as noted in 1.5.1.2. The membrane switches were difficult to operate while wearing the flight gloves due to a lack of tactile feedback from the membrane switches. The battery compartment cover can become dislodged and lost when placed in the "OFF" position. The liquid crystal display (LCD) could easily be read in the aircraft.
- 1.5.2.2 The aircraft and its subsystems were not adversely affected by the operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder in any of the prescribed flight test modes.
- 1.5.2.3 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was not affected by the aircraft and its subsystems during the in-flight testing.

#### 1.6 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of laboratory and in-flight testing, the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was found to be compatible with the U.S. Army medical evacuation UH-60A Blackhawk with the subsystems listed in paragraph 3.2.2. Deficiencies in the design and manuals require that the operator be familiar with the operation of the device prior to use in the aircraft.

#### Section 2. Subtests.

#### 2.1 INITIAL INSPECTION

#### 2.1.1 Objective

To determine if the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder is complete and operational for testing per the manufacturer's operating instructions.

#### 2.1.2 Criteria

- 2.1.2.1 The physical inventory is conducted solely for investigation and documentation.
- 2.1.2.2 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements as an acceptable performance test.

#### 2.1.3 Test procedure

- 2.1.3.1 A complete physical inventory of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was completed per the manufacturer's equipment list.
- 2.1.3.2 An operational validation test of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was conducted per the manufacturer's operating instructions by USAARL's personnel.

#### 2.1.4 Test findings

- 2.1.4.1 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was inventoried and found to be complete.
- 2.1.4.2 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder operated as prescribed in the manufacturer's operating manual. Criteria met.
- 2.2 BATTERY LIFE EVALUATION (Laboratory)

#### 2.2.1 Objective

To ensure the equipment will function as designed throughout the rated battery operation time.

#### 2.2.2 Criterion

Verify manufacturer's specified full power internal battery life expectancy of 12 to 26 hours during continuous operation in the 30-second mode, with the recorder on, and temperature measurements taken automatically at 30-second intervals.

#### 2.2.3 Test procedure

- 2.2.3.1 Charging and operation cycles were conducted in ambient room conditions.
- 2.2.3.2 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was operated continuously using a new 9-volt alkaline battery in the 30-second temperature cycle mode until a low battery indication occurred. The depletion time was noted and the battery was replaced. This procedure was repeated three times.

#### 2.2.4 Test findings

The test was conducted using new internal batteries. The average operating time in testing was 14.83 hours at room temperature. This meets manufacturer's specification of 12 to 26 hours of operation. Criterion met.

#### 2.3 HUMAN FACTORS EVALUATION 'Laboratory)

#### 2.3.1 Objectives

- 2.3.1.1 To assure the safety of the operator, the potential patient, and the aircrew.
- 2.3.1.2 To assess the design considerations which could potentially contribute to an operator error.

#### 2.3.2 Criterion

The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder must be rated satisfactory in all major categories of the evaluation. These include: (1) Visual displays, (2) controls, (3) maintainability, (4) conductors, (5) fasteners, (6) test points, (7) test equipment, (8) fuses and circuit breakers, (9) labels and coding, and (10) safety.

#### 2.3.3 Test procedure

- 2.3.3.1 The evaluation was conducted in a laboratory under fluorescent lighting and ambient room conditions.
- 2.3.3.2 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was operated according to prescribed instructions through its full range of functions.

#### 2.3.4 Test finding

The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was found to be unsatisfactory in five of the evaluation criteria. These included visual displays, controls, maintainability, labels and coding, and safety. Criterion partially met.

2.4 ALTITUDE (LOW PRESSURE) TEST [IAW MIL-STD-810D, METHOD 500.2]

#### 2.4.1 Objective

To determine if the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder can function as designed in a low pressure environment.

#### 2.4.2 Criterion

The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements while exposed to an altitude equivalency of 15,000 feet above sea level.

#### 2.4.3 Test procedure

- 2.4.3.1 A pretest performance check was conducted to ensure proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.
- 2.4.3.2 The altitude test was performed in a Tenney Engineering model 64S altitude chamber\*. This test was based on MIL-STD-810D, Method 500.2. The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was turned on in the standby mode and placed on the floor of the chamber. Chamber pressure was decreased to 420 mmHg (15,000 ft equivalent altitude) over a 15-minute period, held constant for 60 minutes, then raised, at 1500 fpm, to ambient conditions (760 mmHg) over a 10-minute period. There were no provisions for the control of temperature or humidity inside this chamber.
- 2.4.3.3 A posttest performance check was conducted to ensure proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder after the exposure to low pressure.

#### 2.4.4 Test findings

- 2.4.4.1 The pretest performance check met criterion 2.1.2.2.
- 2.4.4.2 No failures in the performance of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder were noted before, during, or after the altitude test. Criterion met.
- 2.4.4.3 The posttest performance check met criterion 2.1.2.2.
- 2.5 VIBRATION TEST [IAW MIL-STD-810D, METHOD 514.3]

#### 2.5.1 Objective

To determine the ability of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder to withstand the vibrational stresses expected in a rotary-wing environment without degradation or malfunction.

#### 2.5.2 Criterion

The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will remain operational and be able to display consistent and accurate measurements while exposed to vibrational stresses.

#### 2.5.3 Test procedure

- 2.5.3.1 A pretest performance check was conducted to ensure proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.
- 2.5.3.2 The vibration test was performed using an Unholtz-Dickey model TA115-40/CSTA vibration test system\*. It is a single-axis system with an electromagnetic driver unit. The test consisted of sinusoidal vibrations superimposed on random vibrations over a frequency range of 500 Hz, as shown below. These vibrations are derived from measurements taken on the floor under the copilot's seat in a UH-1 helicopter traveling at 120 knots. The reference spectrum breakpoints are from MIL-STD-810D, Method 514.3; reference spectrum levels are based on field measurements with a conservatism factor ofp906X1. Independent tests were conducted in the X, Y, and Z axes.

#### **Z-axis**

```
duration: 60 minutes broadband intensity: 0.4506 G_{rms} random vibration: initial slope: 99.00 dB/oct 5 Hz level: 0.00006210 G_{sqr/Hz} 100 Hz level: 0.0006210 G_{sqr/Hz} 300 Hz level: 0.0006210 G_{sqr/Hz} 500 Hz level: 0.00006210 G_{sqr/Hz} final slope: -99.00 dB/oct sinusoidal vibration: .5450 G_{pk} at 11.25 Hz .1690 G_{pk} at 22.50 Hz .1200 G_{pk} at 33.75 Hz .0310 G_{pk} at 45.00 Hz .0530 G_{pk} at 56.25 Hz
```

#### X and Y axes

duration: 60 minutes each broadband intensity: 0.3099 G<sub>rms</sub> random vibration: initial slope: 99.00 dB/oct 5 Hz level: 0.00002920 G<sub>sqr/Hz</sub> 100 Hz level: 0.0002920 G<sub>sqr/Hz</sub> 300 Hz level: 0.0002920 G<sub>sqr/Hz</sub> 500 Hz level: 0.00002920 G<sub>sqr/Hz</sub> final slope: -99.00 dB/oct

sinusoidal vibration: .3200  $G_{pk}$  at 11.25 Hz .0670  $G_{pk}$  at 22.50 Hz .0950  $G_{pk}$  at 33.75 Hz .0350  $G_{pk}$  at 45.00 Hz .0770  $G_{pk}$  at 56.25 Hz

The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was strapped to the vibration table fixture, and its performance was evaluated before, during, and after exposure to vibration.

2.5.3.3 A posttest performance check was conducted to ensure proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.

# 2.5.4 Test findings

- 2.5.4.1 The pretest performance check met criterion 2.1.2.2.
- 2.5.4.2 No failures in the performance of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder occurred before, during, or after exposure to vibration. Criterion met.
- 2.5.4.3 The posttest performance check met criterion 2.1.2.2.
- 2.6 HIGH TEMPERATURE TEST [IAW MIL-STD-810D, METHOD 501.2]

#### 2.6.1 Objective

To determine the ability of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder to be stored and operated in a high temperature environment.

#### 2.6.2 Criteria

- 2.6.2.1 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements during the high temperature operation check.
- 2.6.2.2 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements after the high temperature storage cycle.

#### 2.6.3 Test procedure

- 2.6.3.1 A pretest performance check was conducted to ensure proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.
- 2.6.3.2 The high temperature test was conducted in a Tenney Engineering model ZWUL-10107D walk-in controlled environment chamber\*. This test was based on MIL-STD-810D, Method 501.2. For the high temperature operation test, the Ambulatory Cortemp

Recorder was turned on and placed on the floor of the environmental chamber. The chamber temperature was raised to 49°C and the humidity was stabilized at a maximum of 20 percent relative humidity (RH) within 15 minutes. The environmental control system is capable of regulating temperature within ± 2°C and humidity within ± 5 percent RH. Temperature and humidity were held constant for 2 hours. At 30-minute intervals, the chamber door was opened briefly to minimize the change in chamber conditions during performance checks. After the operational test, the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was allowed to return to ambient conditions over a 30-minute period.

- 2.6.3.3 A posttest performance check was conducted to ensure proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.
- 2.6.3.4 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was stored (not operated) at temperatures of 63°C for 1 hour, 71°C for 4 hours, then again at 63°C for 1 hour. The chamber and Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder then were returned to ambient conditions over a 30-minute period.
- 2.6.3.5 A poststorage performance check was conducted to ensure proper performance of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.

#### 2.6.4 Test findings

- 2.6.4.1 The pretest performance check met criterion 2.1.2.2.
- 2.6.4.2 No operational failures occurred during the high temperature test. Criterion met.
- 2.6.4.3 The posttest performance check met criterion 2.1.2.2.
- 2.6.4.4 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder functioned properly after the high temperature storage test. Criterion met.
- 2.7 LOW TEMPERATURE TEST [IAW MIL-STD-810D, METHOD 502.2]

#### 2.7.1 Objective

To determine the ability of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder to be stored and operated in a low temperature environment.

#### 2.7.2 Criteria

2.7.2.1 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements during the low temperature operation check.

2.7.2.2 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements after the low temperature storage cycle.

#### 2.7.3 Test procedure

- 2.7.3.1 A pretest performance check was conducted to ensure proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.
- 2.7.3.2 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was placed on the floor of the environmental chamber and the temperature was lowered to 0°C within 25 minutes. The environmental control system was capable of regulating temperature within 2°C. Humidity cannot be controlled in the chamber at freezing temperatures. The temperature was held constant for 2 hours. The chamber door was opened briefly every 30 minutes to minimize the change in chamber conditions, and a performance check was conducted. The chamber temperature then was raised to ambient temperature within a 30-minute period.
- 2.7.3.3 A posttest performance check was conducted to ensure proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.
- 2.7.3.4 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was "stored" in a nonoperational mode. The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was placed on the floor of the environmental test chamber and the temperature was lowered to -46°C for 6 hours. The chamber then was raised to ambient temperature over a 30-minute period.
- 2.7.3.5 A poststorage performance check was conducted to ensure proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.

#### 2.7.4 Test findings

- 2.7.4.1 The pretest performance check met criterion 2.1.2.2.
- 2.7.4.2 No operational failures occurred during the low temperature test. Criterion met.
- 2.7.4.3 The posttest performance check met criterion 2.1.2.2.
- 2.7.4.4 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder functioned properly after the low temperature storage test. Criterion met.
- 2.8 HUMIDITY TEST [IAW MIL-STD-810D, METHOD 507.2]

#### 2.8.1 Objective

To determine the ability of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder to operate satisfactorily for short periods of time during exposure to highly humid conditions.

#### 2.8.2 Criterion

The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements while exposed to a high humidity environment.

#### 2.8.3 Test procedure

- 2.8.3.1 A pretest performance check was conducted to ensure the proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.
- 2.8.3.2 The humidity test was conducted in a Tenney Engineering model ZWUL-10107D walk-in controlled environment chamber\*. test was based on MIL-STD-810D, Method 507.2. For the humidity test, the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was placed ready for operation on the floor of the environmental chamber. The chamber temperature was raised to a temperature of 30°C and a relative humidity of 95 percent within 25 minutes. Temperature and relative humidity were maintained for 4 hours. The environmental control system is capable of regulating temperature within ± 2°C and humidity within ± 5 percent RH. At 45-minute intervals, the performance of the blood pressure monitor was checked. chamber door was opened briefly to minimize the change in chamber conditions. The chamber and the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder were returned to ambient conditions before the posttest performance validation check was conducted.
- 2.8.3.3 A posttest performance check was conducted to ensure the proper operation of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.

## 2.8.4 Test findings

- 2.8.4.1 The pretest performance check met criterion 2.1.2.2.
- 2.8.4.2 No failures were noted in the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder performance checks conducted during the exposure to the high humidity environment. Criterion met.
- 2.8.4.3 The posttest performance check met criterion 2.1.2.2.

2.9 ELECTROMAGNETIC CHARACTERISTICS TEST [IAW MIL-STD-461A, Notice 4, and MIL-STD-462, Notice 3]

#### 2.9.1 Objectives

- 2.9.1.1 To assess the maximum levels of radiated electromagnetic emissions produced by the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder in the 14 kHz to 12.4 GHz frequency range.
- 2.9.1.2 To assess the tolerances of radiated electromagnetic susceptibility of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder within the 10 kHz to 10 GHz electric field.

#### 2.9.2 Criteria

- 2.9.2.1 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will not produce emissions in excess of the limits set forth in MIL-STD-461A, Notice 4, paragraph 6.13.
- 2.9.2.2 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will not malfunction when it is subjected to radiated emissions as specified in MIL-STD-461A, Notice 4, paragraph 6.20.

#### 2.9.3 Test procedure

- 2.9.3.1 The radiated emissions test was performed according to MIL-STD-462, Notice 3, Method RE02. The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was positioned on a wooden test stand inside the EMI chamber, 1 meter away from the receiving antennas. The antennas were mounted for both vertical and horizontal polarities and connected to EMI receivers.
- 2.9.3.2 The radiated susceptibility test was performed according to MIL-STD-462, Notice 3, Method RS03. The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was positioned on a wooden test stand inside the EMI chamber 1 meter away from the transmitting antennas. The antennas were mounted for both vertical and horizontal polarities and connected to radio frequency (RF) transmitters. A temperature sensor pill was submerged in warm water and placed on the test stand with the cortemp recorder. The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder took temperature measurements at 30-second intervals. While the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was operating, it was monitored for faulty operation during exposures to fields of 1 V/m from 10 kHz to 2 MHz, and 5 V/m from 2 to 30 MHz, 10 V/m from 30 MHz to 2 GHz, and 5V/m from 2 to 10 GHz. The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was operated with battery power.

#### 2.9.4 Test findings

2.9.4.1 During the radiated emissions test, emissions which exceeded specification limits of MIL-STD-461A, Notice 4, were detected as noted.

Frequency	range	<u>Emission</u>	exceeding	standard
3.9590 -	24.159 MHz	0.4	- 18.3 dB	(NB)
33.994 -	73.989 MHz	8.0	- 36.7 dB	(NB)
100.80 -	171.83 MHz	1.1	- 18.1 dB	(NB)
0.2 MHz		1.9	dB (BB)	

Criterion partially met.

2.9.4.2 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was found to be susceptible to RFI in the testing range as noted. With the software filter "on":

Frequency range	<u>Field strength</u>
40.2 MHz	5.62 V/m
128.6 - 149.0 MHz	5.31 - 8.91  V/m
264.0 MHz	8.91 V/m

With the software filter "off":

Frequency range	<u>Field strength</u>			
30.0 MHz	5.01 V/m			
40.2 MHz	6.31 V/m			
70.8 MHz	5.96 V/m			
145.6 - 159.2 MHz	6.68 - 7.08 V/m			

Criterion partially met.

2.10 IN-FLIGHT HUMAN FACTORS EVALUATION

#### 2.10.1 Objective

To assess the physical and/or functional compatibility of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder while in use onboard the aircraft.

7

#### 2.10.2 Criterion

The flight surgeon will be able to operate the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder without physical or functional restrictions aboard the aircraft. Major areas of concern include: Proper operation, visual displays, controls, maintainability, conductors, fasteners, test points, test equipment, fuses and circuit breakers, labels and coding, and safety.

#### 2.10.3 Test procedure

- 2.10.3.1 A human factors evaluation was performed IAW MIL-STD-1472D, AAMI Human Factors Engineering Guidelines, and UL-544 to ensure the compatibility of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder and the in-flight environment. The flight surgeon conducted the test wearing a flight suit, flight gloves, and an SPH-4B flight helmet. An evaluation of the compatibility with the nuclear, biological, and chemical (NBC) protective equipment was not conducted. Due to restrictions of the AWR, testing was conducted during daylight hours only.
- 2.10.3.2 Two Ambulatory Cortemp Recorders were tested during the flight evaluation. One recorded the temperature from a temperature sensor pill ingested by a volunteer subject and the other monitored a sensor pill in the ambient temperature. A 10-foot separation was maintained between the recorders and sensor pills during the in-flight testing.

#### 2.10.4 Test findings

During the in-flight human factors evaluation, the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder was found to be unsatisfactory in the areas previously identified in paragraph 2.3.4. Specific problems included difficulty with tactile feedback from the membrane switches while wearing the flight gloves and the high risk of losing the battery compartment cover while operating the unit in the aircraft. The LCD display was easily viewed with the ambient light in the aircraft and the recorders accurately reported the temperature of their respective temperature sensor pills. Criterion partially met.

#### 2.11 IN-FLIGHT EMI/EMC CHARACTERISTICS TEST

#### 2.11.1 Objective

To assess the EMI/EMC characteristics of the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder with the host aircraft and its installed systems.

#### 2.11.2 Criteria

- 2.11.2.1 The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will not radiate EMI to disrupt or interfere with other equipment or systems aboard the aircraft.
- 2.11.2.2 The aircraft will not radiate EMI to disrupt or interfere with the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder's operation.

#### 2.11.3 Test procedure

A qualitative EMI/EMC assessment was performed with both the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder and the aircraft operating as source and victim. The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder and applicable aircraft instruments and systems were monitored for unusual operation, readings, surges, or power anomalies for each checklist item (see pages 3-5 through 3-8).

#### 2.11.4 Test findings

- 2.11.4.1 There were no adverse instances of EMI/EMC noted with the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder acting as either the source or victim. Criterion met.
- 2.11.4.2 There were no adverse instances of EMI/EMC noted with the aircraft acting as either the source or victim. Criterion met.

#### Section 3. Supporting documentation.

#### 3.1 DETAILED TEST INFORMATION

#### 3.1.1 General information

- 3.1.1.1 Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder testing is not considered a major action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and, therefore, qualifies for categorical exclusion A-28, appendix A, AR 200-1.
- 3.1.1.2 A safety pilot will be designated for each flight. Flight operations will be conducted in accordance with (IAW) the aircraft operator's manual, appropriate aircrew training manuals, and test item technical data.

#### 3.1.2 Material description

3.1.2.1 The Human Technology Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder is designed to measure and record a patient's core temperature. Cortemp Recorder is used in conjunction with a DTS. The DTS is an ingestible pill. The power switch for the Cortemp Recorder is integral with the battery compartment cover on the back of the recorder. The recorder is turned "ON" when the battery cover on the back of the unit is snapped closed. The Cortemp Recorder conducts an internal self-test each time the unit is activated. A keypad on the front panel of the recorder contains 20-membrane switches which are labeled with numbers and function designators. The membrane switches allow the operator to enter data for test identification and to enter calibration and operation modes. During the operational setup, prompts and entered data are presented on a LCD located at the top of the front panel of the recorder.

Calibration of the unit for a specific DTS is accomplished by entering the serial number and calibration number of the DTS during the setup. The operator can set high or low temperature alarm limits, select Celsius or Fahrenheit temperature displays, and the sampling rate, and activate a filter which screens for spurious readings. The measurement filter operates when a current reading differs from the previous reading by more than 0.3°C. Filtering is accomplished by taking two additional readings and averaging them with the current reading. An audible three-beep tone is activated each time a measurement falls outside the temperature limits. The unit has an event button and an antenna port located on the top of the unit. A 25-pin RS-232 printer port is located on the front of the unit.

3.1.2.2 Method of Operation: DTS pills are kept in sealed plastic bags with an orange warranty label and a magnet attached

to the pill. Removing the magnet activates the DTS internal battery which is confirmed by "PILL ON" during the "PILL TEST" portion of the recorder setup. A weak battery is indicated by a "PILL OFF" indication with the magnet removed. After verifying the DTS pill operation, the orange label may be removed and the DTS swallowed by the patient. A bandoleer antenna is worn on the torso of the patient and receives the transmitted signal from the DTS. The antenna must be less than 25 cm from the DTS to receive the signal. The Cortemp Recorder calculates the patient's core temperature by measuring the frequency of the DTS magnetic field.

- 3.1.2.3 Dimensions:  $7.5 \times 4 \times 2$  in  $(19 \times 10 \times 5 \text{ cm})$ .
- 3.1.2.4 Weight: 15 oz (425 gm).
- 3.1.2.5 Power requirements: The Cortemp Recorder is powered by one 9-volt alkaline battery.

# 3.2 TEST DATA

# 3.2.1 Photographic description



# 3.2.2 Aircraft equipment list

Item No.	Nomenclature
1	Receiver radio R-1496A/ARN-89 (automatic direction finder)
2	Displacement gyro CN-1314/A
3	Gyro directional CN-998/ASN-43
4	Signal data converter CV-3338/ASN-128
5	Receiver R-2139/ARN-123 (VOR/LOC/MB/GS)
6	Command instrument system processor 70600- 01038-101
7	SAS amplifier 70901-02908-104 (flight control stability augmentation system)
8	Rate gyro TRU-2A/A
9	Amplifier, impedance AM-4859A/ARN-89
10	Cargo hook FE-7590-145
11	Receiver, radar RT-1193/ASN-128 (doppler navigation receiver)
12	Barometric altimeter AAU-31/A-1
13	Barometric altimeter AAU-32A
14	Receiver/transmitter RT-1300/ARC-186 (VHF-AM and/or FM radio)
15	UHF-AM radio set RT-1518/ARC-164
16	<pre>Interphone control C6533/ARC (aircraft intercom control)</pre>
17	Receiver/transmitter RT-1115D/APN-209 (radar altimeter)
18	Indicator altimeter ID-1917C/APN-209 (radar altimeter)
19	Control radio set C-7392A/ARN-89 (automatic direction finder)
20	Comparator signal data CM-482/ARC-186 (comparator for ARC-186)
21	Receiver/transmitter RT-1296A/APX-100 (transponder with IFF)
22	Computer display unit CP-1252/ASN-128 (doppler navigation system)
23	Compass set controller C-8021E/ASN75
24	Magnetic compass - standby MS-17983-4

# 3.2.3 <u>In-flight test data card</u>

# DATA CARD FORMAT

# GUIDELINE FOR DATA COLLECTION

# IN-FLIGHT SUITABILITY TEST OF MEDICAL ITEMS

1.	Inst	allation/removal.	Suita Yes	ble No	Comments
	(DD	Weight and balance Form 365-4, Clearance m F).	X		
	b.	Space/area allocation.			
		<pre>(1) Operational requirements.</pre>	x		
		(2) Storage requirements.	x		
	c. (sa	Interface connections fe, positive, secure).	x		
	d. (ex	Installation/removal pedient/easily achieved).	x		
	e. ura	Mounting/final config- tion (functional/stable).	x		
2.	Oper	ations and performance.	Suita Yes	ble No	Comments
	a. ins	Manufacturer's operating truction.	x		
	b. bef	Medical item operation ore aircraft run-up.	x		
	med	System interface during craft engine run-up and ical item operation (EMI tchology checklist).	X		
		(1) Aircraft voltage output.	x		

	Suitable Yes No	Comments
(2) Flight control function (UH-60).	x	
(3) Stabilator function (UH-60).	x	
(4) Radio communication vs. medical item operation.		
(a) FM	X	
(b) UHF	X	
(c) VHF	x	
(5) Navigation equipment vs. medical item operation.		
(a) Transponder	X	
(b) ADF	X	
(c) VOR	x	
(d) Doppler	x	
(6) Radar altimeter operation vs. medical item operation.	Х	
d. System interface during air- craft hover and medical item operation (EMI switchology check- list).		
(1) Voltage output.	NA	
(2) Radio communication vs. medical item operation.		
(a) FM	x	
(b) UHF	x	
(c) VHF	x	

(3) Navigation equipment operation vs. medical item operation.	Suitable Yes No	Comments
(a) Transponder	x	
(b) ADF	x	
(c) VOR	X	
(d) Doppler	X	
e. Flight mission profile vs. medical item operation (EMI switchology checklist).		
<pre>(1) Straight and level (1000 ft MSL for 20 minutes).</pre>		
<ul><li>(a) Compatibility of flight mode and medical item operation.</li></ul>	x	
<ul><li>(b) Radio communication</li><li>vs. medical item operation.</li></ul>		
<u>a</u> . FM	X	
<u>b</u> . UHF	X	
c. VHF	x	
(2) NOE (20 minutes). compatibility of flight mode and medical item operation.	x	
(3) FM homing (10 minutes).	x	
(4) Doppler navigation vs. medical item operation.		
(a) Initialize function.	x	
(b) Fix function.	x	
(c) Update function.	x	

	Suitable Yes No	Comments
<pre>(5) VOR navigation vs. medical item operation.</pre>	x	
(6) ILS approach vs. medical item operation.	x	
f. Medical item operation after engine shutdown (external power source).	x	
g. Restrictions to the medical item's use (i.e., electrical connectors).	x	
h. Deviations from the labor- atory test results.		
<pre>(1) Electrical/ electronic.</pre>	None	
<pre>(2) Mechanical environment.</pre>	None	
(3) Human factors (user interface, controls, markings, lighting, egress).	None	
(4) Safety.	None	

<sup>3.</sup> Deviations from the in-flight test protocol: The VOR navigation portion of the in-flight test conducted at 2000 feet MSL due to air traffic control clearance.

# 3.2.4 EMI switchology checklist

# EMI SWITCHOLOGY CHECKLIST UH-60 AIRCRAFT IN-FLIGHT SUITABILITY OF MEDICAL ITEMS

ENG INSTRUMENTS/CDU	No EMI Affect	EMI Affected Gnd Flt	Explanation
Fuel quantity	x		
Fuel indicator test	X		
XMSN oil temperature	X		
XMSN oil pressure	X		
#1 engine oil temperature	X		
#2 engine oil temperature	X		
#1 engine oil pressure	X		
#2 engine oil pressure	X		
#1 TGT	X		
#2 TGT	X		
#1 Ng speed	X		
#2 Ng speed	X		
CDU digits on/off	X		
CDU instruments dim	X		
ENG INSTRUMENTS/PLT PDU	No EMI	EMI Affected	Explanation
	Affect	Gnd Flt	
#1 engine RPM	x		
#2 engine RPM	X		
Rotor RPM	X		
#1 torque	X		
#2 torque	X		
•			
ENG INSTRUMENTS/COPLT PDU	No EMI	EMI Affected	Explanation
	Affect	Gnd Flt	
# a			
#1 engine RPM	X		
#2 engine RPM	X		
Rotor RPM	X		
#1 torque	X		
#2 torque	X		

ENG CONTROLS	No EMI EMI Affected Explanation Affect Gnd Flt
<pre>#1 overspeed #2 overspeed RPM switch #1 engine anti-ice #2 engine anti-ice #1 inlet anti-ice #2 inlet anti-ice</pre>	X X X X X X
RADIO EQUIPMENT	No EMI EMI Affected Explanation Affect Gnd Flt
ICS, C-6533 ARC VHF-FM, ARC-186/115 VHF-AM, ARC-186/115 UHF-AM, ARC-164(V) Crypto, KY-28 Radio retransmissions PLN Transponder, APX-100(V) KIT-1A/TSEC IFF computer	X X X X Not installed Not installed X Not keyed with code
MISSION EQUIPMENT	No EMI EMI Affected Explanation Affect Gnd Flt
RWR, APR-39(V) IR CM, ALQ-144 Chaff dispenser, M-130 Cargo hook system	Not installed Not installed Not installed X
HYDRAULIC CONTROL SYSTEM	No EMI EMI Affected Explanation Affect Gnd Flt
Backup hydraulic pump Servo off 1st stage/PLT Servo off 2nd stage/PLT Servo off 1st stage/COPLT Servo off 2nd stage/COPLT Hydraulic leak test Tail servo Boost servos	X X X X X X X

FUEL SYSTEM	No EMI Affect	EMI Affected Gnd Flt	Explanation
Fuel pump switch Fuel boost pump #1 Fuel boost pump #2 Fuel cont panel ESSS	X X X Not insta	lled	
WARNING SYSTEM	No EMI Affect	EMI Affected Gnd Flt	Explanation
Low rotor RPM Master caution Caution advisory Fire warning AFCS Stabilator #1 engine out #2 engine out	X X X X X X X	·	
NAVIGATION INSTRUMENTS	No EMI Affect	EMI Affected Gnd Flt	Explanation
ADF Magnetic compass CONUS NAV, ARN-123 DOPPLER, ASN-128 Gyro mag compass (PLT) Gyro mag compass (COPLT) Compass cont panel, ASN-75 HSI	x x x x x x x		
FLIGHT INSTRUMENTS	No EMI Affect	EMI Affected Gnd Flt	Explanation
Radar altimeter Stabilator pos indicator VSI CIS mode select SAS 1 SAS 2 FPS Trim Go-around enable Cyclic trim release Cyclic stick trim ALR encoder	X X X X X X X X X X		

FLIGHT INSTRUMENTS (CONT)	No EMI Affect	EMI Affected Gnd Flt	Explanation
HSI/VSI mode select (PLT)  DPLR  VOR/ILS  BACK CRS  FM HOME  TURN RATE  CRS HDG  VERT GYRO  BRG 2  HSI/VSI Mode Select (COPLT)  DPLR  VOR/ILS  BACK CRS  FM HOME  TURN RATE  CRS HDG  VERT GYRO  BRG 2	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x		
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT	No EMI Affect	EMI Affected Gnd Flt	Explanation
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT Blade deice		Gnd Flt	Ambient temperature was out of test limits.

LIGHTING	No EMI Affect	EMI Affected Gnd Flt	Explanation
Cockpit utility	X		
Cockpit flood	X		
Cabin dome	X		
Search light	X		
Search light control	X		
Landing light	X		
Flt instr lights (PLT)	X		
Flt instr lights (COPLT)	X		
Nonflight instr lights	X		
Console lights, upper	X		
Console lights, lower	X		
Position lights	X		
Formation lights	X		
Anticollision lights	X		
NVG lighting	X		

#### 3.2.5 Battery life evaluation

Battery Life Evaluation Report Form

Nomenclature: Core temperature recorder

Manufacturer: Human Technology

Model number: COR-124 Serial number: CA001071CB Military item number: None

Options installed: None

Manufacturer battery life specification: 12 to 26 hours on 30second cycle with a new battery.

Specified battery recharge time: NA

Specified mode of operation under battery power: 30-second cycle mode, in which automatic temperature measurements are taken at 30-second intervals and recorder on.

Overall performance: Pass

Measurements: The unit averaged 14.83 hours of operation.

Comments: The unit was operated continuously in the 30-second cycle mode until a low battery indication occurred. The depletion time was noted and the battery was replaced. This procedure was repeated three times.

#### 3.2.6 Human factors evaluation

### Human Factors Evaluation Report Form

Nomenclature: Core temperature recorder

Manufacturer: Human Technology

Model number: COR-124 Serial number: CA001071CB Military item number: None

Options installed: None

Date of test: 5 Jul 90

Item configuration during test: Item prepared for operation, sitting on a counter top, sensor pill in styrofoam cup of

water.

#### Checklist for HFE

RESULTS

#### VISUAL DISPLAYS:

Unsatisfactory

display type, format, content location of displays indicator lights scalar displays color coding legends and labels cathode ray tubes counters flags, go-no-go, center-null indicators

Comments: Button on the top of the unit is unmarked. Front panel button labels do not always indicate their function.

#### CONTROLS:

Unsatisfactory

location
characteristics of controls
labeling
control - display relationships

Comments: No tactile feedback for locating front panel buttons or to verify that contact was made. Power switch is part of battery compartment cover. Cover may be misplaced, rendering the unit useless. It is not possible to turn the unit on or off while it is in the protective cover.

TIME REQUIRED TO PREPARE FOR OPERATION (list in comment)

Comments: 3 to 4 minutes.

## MAINTAINABILITY:

Unsatisfactory

component location
component characteristics
rests and stands
covers, cases, access doors
handles
lubrication
component mounting
cord storage provisions
external accessibility
internal accessibility
list special tools required
list realistic inspection requirements
list realistic inspection intervals

Comments: Unit must be placed in cover before it is set up for use. Not possible to turn power on or off while it is in the cover. Not possible to connect the printer while it is in the cover. Cover is tight and requires

some effort to install.

### CONDUCTORS:

Satisfactory

binding and securing length protection routing conductor coding fabrication connectors

Comments: None

FASTENERS: Satisfactory

access through inspection panel covers enclosure fasteners device mounting bolts and fasteners

Comments: Difficult to connect and disconnect battery.

TEST POINTS: NA

general
location and mounting
test point labeling and coding

Comments: None

TEST EQUIPMENT: Satisfactory

general
equipment self-test
indicators (list in comments)
controls
positive indication of proper operation

Comments: A self test is initiated with each use. Low battery is indicated. Unit includes tests for pill on/off and weak signal.

FUSES AND CIRCUIT BREAKERS: NA

external accessibility easy replacement or reset by operator

Comments: No fuses are externally accessible.

LABELS AND CODING: Unsatisfactory

placed above controls and displays near or on the items they identify not obscured by other equipment components describe the function of the items they identify readable from normal operating distance conspicuous placards adjacent to hazardous items

Comments: Button labels do not always describe their function.

#### SAFETY:

Unsatisfactory

manual
materials
fire and explosive protection
operator protection from mechanical hazards
patient protection from mechanical hazards
electrical safety (operator and patient)

Comments: Manual is difficult to understand, some instructions are incorrect, and some examples are wrong. Some components described in the manual do not exist. Errors in the operator's manual may lead to improper operation of the device. The manual lacks specifications for the device.

# 3.2.7 Altitude test

Altitude Test Report Form

Nomenclature: Core temperature recorder

Manufacturer: Human Technology

Model number: COR-124
Serial number: CA001071CB
Military item number: None

Options installed: None

Date of test: 17 Jul 90

Item configuration during test: Sitting on custom styrofoam stand in the chamber. Pill submerged in styrofoam cup of

warm water.

Performance test criteria: Consistent and accurate displays and

measurements.

Ambient conditions outside chamber:

Temperature 74°F Humidity 80% RH Barometric pressure 1 atm

PRETEST LATA

Pretest performance check:

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Installation of item in test facility:

list connections to power None (battery)

list connections to simulators None list connections to dummy loads None

list unconnected terminals Serial port

IN-TEST DATA

Time of test start: 1340

POSTTEST DATA

Posttest performance check (complete check of item and accessories):

Time of test end: 1440

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

Comments on item setup or checks: None

Comments on test run (including interruptions): None

Comments on other data: None

# 3.2.8 <u>Vibration test</u>

Vibration Test Report Form

Nomenclature: Core temperature recorder

Manufacturer: Human Technology

Model number: COR-124 Serial number: CA001071CB Military item number: None

Options installed: None

Date of test: 17 Jul 90

Item configuration during test: Item strapped down on vibration

table fixture; pill submerged in warm water.

Performance test criteria: Consistent and accurate measurements

and displays.

PRETEST DATA

Pretest performance check:

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Installation of item in test facility:

list connections to power None (battery)

list connections to simulators None list connections to dummy loads None

list unconnected terminals Serial port

Ambient conditions

Temperature 74°F Humidity 80% RH Barometric pressure 1 atm

IN-TEST DATA

Data and performance checks during test:

Times and dates of test start: 0945

Time at first check:

X: 0945 Y: 1200 Z: 0810

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

Time at second check:

X: 0950

Y: 1250

Z: 0810

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

#### POSTTEST DATA

Posttest performance check (complete check of item and accessories):

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Item intact: Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

Comments on item setup or checks:
Times are on different days

Comments on test run (including interruptions): The z-axis test was completed in two test runs due to a test abort after 46 minutes on the first run. The test interruption was not caused by the test device.

Comments on other data: None

# 3.2.9 High temperature test

High Temperature Test (Equipment Operating)
Report Form

Nomenclature: Core temperature recorder

Manufacturer: Human Technology

Model number: COR-124 Serial number: CA001071CB Military item number: None

Options installed: None

Date of test: 5 Jul 90

Item configuration during test: Unit was sitting on wire test stand with antenna elevated on a box 0.38 meters above the chamber floor. Pill submerged in warm water and placed in the antenna loop.

Performance test criteria: Consistent and accurate displays and measurements.

Ambient conditions outside chamber:

Temperature 24°C Humidity 52% RH Barometric pressure 1 atm

## PRETEST DATA

Pretest performance check:

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Installation of item in test facility:

list connections to power
list connections to simulators
list connections to dummy loads
list unconnected terminals
distance from north wall (meters)

0.762

distance from south wall (meters) 0.813 distance from east wall (meters) 1.397 distance from west wall (meters) 1.626 distance from ceiling (meters) 1.575 distance from floor (meters) 0.533

## IN-TEST DATA

Time of test start: 0950

# Performance checks during test:

#### First check:

Time: 1035
Temperature: 49°C
Humidity: 15% RH
Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria):

Yes, all OK

Deviation from pretest: None

#### Second check:

Time: 1105
Temperature: 49°C
Humidity: 15% RH
Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria):

Yes, all OK

Deviation from pretest: None

### Third check:

Time: 1135
Temperature: 49°C
Humidity: 15% RH
Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria):

Yes, all OK

Deviation from pretest: None

#### POSTTEST DATA

Posttest performance check:

(complete check of item and accessories)

Time of test end: 1215

Item functional (based on performance test criteria):

Yes, all OK

Deviation from pretest: None

Comments on item setup or checks: None

Comments on test run (including interruptions): None

Comments on other data: None

# 3.2.10 High temperature storage test

High Temperature Test (Equipment in Storage)
Report Form

Nomenclature: Core temperature recorder

Manufacturer: Human Technology

Model number: COR-124 Serial number: CA001071CB Military item number: None

Options installed: None

Date of test: 10 Jul 90

Item configuration during test: Sitting on wire test stand, in

storage, not operating.

Performance test criteria: Consistent and accurate displays and

measurements.

Ambient conditions outside chamber:

Temperature 25°C Humidity 56% RH Barometric pressure 1 atm

PRETEST DATA

Pretest performance check:

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Installation of item in test facility:

list connections to power None list connections to simulators None list connections to dummy loads None list unconnected terminals All distance from north wall (meters) 0.762 distance from south wall (meters) 0.813 distance from east wall (meters) 1.397 distance from west wall (meters) 1.626 distance from ceiling (meters) 1.575 distance from floor (meters) 0.533

Time of test start: 0805

# POSTTEST DATA

Posttest performance check: (complete check of item and accessories)

> Time of test end: 1405

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes Deviation from pretest: None

Comments on item setup or checks: None

Comments on test run (including interruptions): None

Comments on other data: None

## 3.2.11 Low temperature test

Low Temperature Test (Equipment Operating)
Report Form

Nomenclature: Core temperature recorder

Manufacturer: Human Technology

Model number: COR-124 Serial number: CA001071CB Military item number: None

Options installed: None

Date of test: 6 Jul 90

Item configuration during test: Sitting on wire test stand, antenna sitting on box 0.38 meters above the chamber floor. Pill submerged in warm water and placed in the antenna loop.

Performance test criteria: Consistent and accurate displays and measurements.

Ambient conditions outside chamber:

Temperature 25° C Humidity 52% RH Barometric pressure 1 atm

### PRETEST DATA

Pretest performance check:

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes
All OK Pass

Installation of item in test facility:

list connections to power None list connections to simulators None list connections to dummy loads None

list unconnected terminals Serial port

distance from north wall (meters) 0.762 distance from south wall (meters) 0.813 distance from east wall (meters) 1.397 distance from west wall (meters) 1.626 distance from ceiling (meters) 1.575 distance from floor (meters) 0.533

Time of test start: 0805

# Performance checks during test:

# First check:

Time: 0835 Temperature: 0°C Humidity: NA Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

## Second check:

Time: 0905 Temperature: 0°C Humidity: NA Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

### Third check:

Time: 0935 Temperature: 0°C Humidity: NA Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

# POSTTEST DATA

Posttest performance check:

(complete check of item and accessories)

Time of test end: 1015

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

Comments on item setup or checks: None

Comments on test run (including interruptions): None

Comments on other data: None

# 3.2.12 Low temperature storage test

Low Temperature Test (Equipment in Storage)
Report Form

Nomenclature: Core temperature recorder

Manufacturer: Human Technology

Model number: COR-124 Serial number: CA001071CB Military item number: None

Options installed: None

Date of test: 9 Jul 90

Item configuration during test: Antenna cable is coiled and placed next to the unit. Unit on wire test stand in

storage, not operating.

Performance test criteria: Consistent and accurate displays and measurements.

Ambient conditions outside chamber:

Temperature 27°C
Humidity 53% RH
Barometric pressure 1 atm

PRETEST DATA

Pretest performance check:

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Installation of item in test facility:

list connections to power None list connections to simulators None list connections to dummy loads None All list unconnected terminals distance from north wall (meters) 0.762 distance from south wall (meters) 0.813 distance from east wall (meters) 1.397 distance from west wall (meters) 1.626 distance from ceiling (meters) 1.575 distance from floor (meters) 0.533

Time of test start: 0850

## POSTTEST DATA

Posttest performance check:
 (complete check of item and accessories)

Time of test end: 1450

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

Comments on item setup or checks: None

Comments on test run (including interruptions): None

Comments on other data: The unit was allowed to return to ambient conditions overnight before final performance check.

# 3.2.13 Humidity test

Humidity Test Report Form

Nomenclature: Core temperature recorder

Manufacturer: Human Technology

Model number: COR-124 Serial number: CA001071CB Military item number: None

Options installed: None

Date of test: 11 Jul 90

Item configuration during test: The unit was sitting on wire

test stand, ready for operation.

Performance test criteria: Consistent and accurate displays and

measurements.

Ambient conditions outside chamber:

Temperature 26°C Humidity 51% RH Barometric pressure 1 atm

PRETEST DATA

Pretest performance check:

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Installation of item in test facility:

list connections to power None list connections to simulators None list connections to dummy loads None list unconnected terminals Printer port distance from north wall (meters) 0.762 distance from south wall (meters) 0.813 distance from east wall (meters) 1.397 distance from west wall (meters) 1.626 distance from ceiling (meters) 1.575 distance from floor (meters) 0.533

IN-TEST DATA

Time of test start: 0820

# Performance checks during test:

#### First check:

Time: 0905
Temperature: 29.5°C
Humidity: 95% RH
Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

#### Second check:

Time: 0950
Temperature: 29.5°C
Humidity: 95% RH
Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

#### Third check:

Time: 1035
Temperature: 29.5°C
Humidity: 95% RH
Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

## Fourth check:

Time: 1120
Temperature: 29.5°C
Humidity: 95% RH
Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

#### Fifth check:

Time: 1205
Temperature: 29.5°C
Humidity: 95% RH
Barometric pressure: 1 atm

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes

Deviation from pretest: None

## POSTTEST DATA

Posttest performance check:

(complete check of item and accessories)

Time of test end: 1220

Item functional (based on performance test criteria): Yes Deviation from pretest: None

Comments on item setup or checks: None

Comments on test run (including interruptions): None

Comments on other data: None

# 3.2.14 Electromagnetic characteristics test

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Electromagnetic Characteristics Testing
Evaluation of Performance

T & E Item Number: 06 Date: 29 Jun 90

Nomenclature: Core temperature recorder

Manufacturer: Human Technology

Model number: COR-124 Serial number: CA001071CB Military item number: NA

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

### Conducted emissions tests

CE01 Testing configuration(s): NA

Performance (pass/fail): NA

Comments: NA

CE02 Testing configuration(s): NA

Performance (pass/fail): NA

Comments: NA

CE04 Testing configuration(s): NA

Performance (pass/fail): NA

Comments: NA

Conducted susceptibility tests

CS02 Testing configuration(s): NA

Performance (pass/fail): NA

Comments: NA

CS06 Testing configuration(s): NA

Performance (pass/fail): NA

Comments: NA

# Radiated emissions tests

RE02 Testing configuration(s): Operating on wooden test

stand in the EMC chamber, battery power.

Performance (pass/fail): Fail

## Comments:

Frequency range	Emission exceeding standard
3.9590 - 24.159 MHz	0.4 - 18.3 dB (NB)
33.994 - 73.989 MHz	8.0 - 36.7 dB (NB)
100.80 - 171.83 MHz	1.1 - 18.1 dB (NB)
0.2 MHz	1.9 dB (BB)

# Radiated susceptibility tests

RS03 Testing configuration(s): Operating on the wooden test stand in the EMC chamber, battery power.

Performance (pass/fail): Fail

Comments: Frequency ranges and field strengths when susceptibility detected.

With the software filter "on":

Frequency range	<u>Field strength</u>		
40.2 MHz	5.62 V/m		
128.6 - 149.0 MHz	5.31 - 8.91 V/m		
264.0 MHz	8.91 V/m		

With the software filter "off":

Frequency range	Field strength
30.0 MHz	5.01 V/m
40.2 MHz	6.31 V/m
70.8 MHz	5.96 V/m
145.6 - 159.2 MHz	6.68 - 7.08 V/m

# 3.3 CRITERIA, SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS, AND SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS

# 3.3.1 Criteria

Item			<u>Applicable</u>
No.	Criteria (source)	Remarks	subparagraph
1	The physical inventory is con- ducted solely for investigation and documentation.	NA	2.1.2.1
2	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements.	met	2.1.2.2
3	Verify manufacturer's specified full power battery life expectancy of 12 to 26 hours during continuous operation in the 30-second cycle.	met	2.2.2
4	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will be rated satisfactory in all major categories of the evaluation. These include: Visual displays, controls, maintainability, conductors, fasteners, test points, test equipment, fuses and circuit breakers, labels and coding, and safety.	par- tially met	2.3.2
5	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements while exposed to an altitude equivalency of 15,000 feet above sea level.	met	2.4.2
6	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will remain operational and display consistent and accurate measurements while exposed to vibrational stresses.	met	2.5.2
7	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements during the high temperature operation check.	met	2.6.2.1

8	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements after the high temperature storage.	met	2.6.2.2
9	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements during the low temperature operation check.	met	2.7.2.1
10	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements after the low temperature storage.	met	2.7.2.2
11	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will display consistent and accurate measurements while exposed to a high humidity.	met	2.8.2
12	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will not produce emissions in excess of the limits set forth in MIL-STD-461A Notice 4, paragraph 6.13.	par- tially met	2.9.2.1
13	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will not malfunction when it is subjected to radiated fields as specified in MIL-STD-461A, Notice 4, paragraph 6.20.	par- tially met	2.9.2.2
14	The flight surgeon will be able to operate the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder without physical or functional restrictions aboard the aircraft.	par- tially met	2.10.2.1
15	The Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder will not radiate EMI to disrupt or interfere with the other equipment or systems aboard the aircraft.	met	2.11.2.2
16	The aircraft will not radiate EMI to disrupt or interfere with the Ambulatory Cortemp Recorder.	met	2.11.2.3

3.3.2 Significant problems which require corrective action

None

3.3.3 <u>Suggested improvements</u>

None

## 3.4 REFERENCES

- 3.4.1 Department of Defense. 1971. <u>EMI characteristics</u>, requirements for equipment. Washington, DC. MIL-STD-461A, Notice 4. February.
- 3.4.2 Department of Defense. 1971. <u>EMI characteristics</u>, <u>measurement of</u>. Washington, DC. MIL-STD-462, Notice 3. February.
- 3.4.3 Department of Defense. 1983. <u>Environmental test methods</u> and engineering guidelines. Washington, DC. MIL-STD-810D. July.
- 3.4.4 Department of the Army. 1982. <u>Environmental protection</u> and enhancement. Washington, DC. AR-200-1. June.
- 3.4.5 Underwriters Laboratory's, Inc. 1978. <u>Standard for safety, medical and dental equipment</u>. Chicago, Illinois. UL-544.
- 3.4.6 Department of Defense. 1989. <u>Human engineering design</u> criteria for military systems, equipment, and facilities. Washington, DC. MIL-STD-1472D. March.
- 3.4.7 Association for the Advancement of Medical Instruments. Human factors engineering guidelines and preferred practices for the design of medical devices. Arlington, Virginia. AAMI-HE-1988. February.

#### 3.5 ABBREVIATIONS

AVSCOM U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command

AWR airworthiness release

BB broadband

CAAF Cairns Army Airfield

dB decibel

DTS disposable temperature sensor

EMC electromagnetic compatibility electromagnetic interference

fpm feet per minute

GFE government furnished equipment

Gpk gravity, peak

G(rms) gravity (root mean square)

Hz hertz

IAW in accordance with

kHz kilohertz

LCD liquid crystal display

MEDEVAC medical evacuation

MHz megahertz

MIL-STD military standard

mL milliliter mm millimeter

mmHg millimeters of Mercury

MSL mean sea level

NB narrowband

NBC nuclear, biological and chemical

NVG night vision goggle NOE nap-of-the-earth

RF radio frequency

RFI radio frequency interference

RH relative humidity

T & E

test and evaluation

UES

USAARL

Universal Energy Systems, Inc. U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory

V/m

volts per meter

# 3.6 LIST OF MANUFACTURERS

- 3.6.1 Human Technology Inc. 300 Third Avenue N. St. Petersburg, FL 33701
- 3.6.2 Tenney Engineering, Inc. 1090 Springfield Road Post Office Box 3142 Union, NJ 07083
- 3.6.3 Uholtz-Dickey Corporation 6 Brookside Drive Wallingford, CT 06492

#### 3.7 DISTRIBUTION LIST

Commander, U.S. Army Natick Research,
Development and Evaluation Center
ATTN: STRNC-MIL (Documents
Librarian)
Natick, MA 01760-5040

Commander
U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command
ATTN: AMSAV-ECU
4300 Goodfellow Bouuvelard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1790

Commander/Director
U.S. Army Combat Surveillance
and Target Acquisition Lab
ATTN: DELCS-D
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5304

Commander
10th Medical Laboratory
ATTN: Audiologist
APO New York 09180

Naval Air Development Center Technical Information Division Technical Support Detachment Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer, Naval Medical Research and Development Command National Naval Medical Center Bethesda, MD 20814-5044

Deputy Director, Defense Research and Engineering ATTN: Military Assistant for Medical and Life Sciences Washington, DC 20301-3080

Commander, U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine Natick, MA 01760 U.S. Army Avionics Research and Development Activity ATTN: SAVAA-P-TP Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5401

U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Command ATTN: AMSEL-RD-ESA-D Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Library Naval Submarine Medical Research Lab Box 900, Naval Sub Base Groton, CT 06349-5900

Commander
Man-Machine Integration System
Code 602
Naval Air Development Center
Warminster, PA 18974

Commander
Naval Air Development Center
ATTN: Code 602-B (Mr. Brindle)
Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer
Harry G. Armstrong Aerospace
Medical Research Laboratory
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433

Director Army Audiology and Speech Center Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, DC 20307-5001

Commander, U.S. Army Institute of Dental Research ATTN: Jean A. Setterstrom, Ph. D. Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, DC 20307-5300 Naval Air Systems Command Technical Air Library 950D Room 278, Jefferson Plaza II Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20361

Naval Research Laboratory Library Shock and Vibration Information Center, Code 5804 Washington, DC 20375

Director, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory ATTN: Technical Library Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander, U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command ATTN: AMSTE-AD-H Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Director
U.S. Army Ballistic
Research Laboratory
ATTN: DRXBR-OD-ST Tech Reports
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Chemical Defense
ATTN: SGRD-UV-AO
Aberdeen Proving Ground,
MD 21010-5425

Commander, U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command ATTN: SGRD-RMS (Ms. Madigan) Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Washington, DC 20307-5100

HQ DA (DASG-PSP-O) 5109 Leesburg Pike Falls Church, VA 22041-3258 Naval Research Laboratory Library Code 1433 Washington, DC 20375

Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: Technical Information Branch 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

U.S. Army Materiel Systems
Analysis Agency
ATTN: AMXSY-PA (Reports Processing)
Aberdeen Proving Ground
MD 21005-5071

U.S. Army Ordnance Center and School Library Simpson Hall, Building 3071 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

U.S. Army Environmental
Hygiene Agency
Building E2100
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Technical Library Chemical Research and Development Center Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Infectious Disease
SGRD-UIZ-C
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

Director, Biological
Sciences Division
Office of Naval Research
600 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

Commander
U.S. Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCDE-XS
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Commandant
U.S. Army Aviation
Logistics School ATTN: ATSQ-TDN
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Headquarters (ATMD)
U.S. Army Training
and Doctrine Command
Fort Monroe, VA 23651

Structures Laboratory Library
USARTL-AVSCOM
NASA Langley Research Center
Mail Stop 266
Hampton, VA 23665

Naval Aerospace Medical Institute Library Building 1953, Code 03L Pensacola, FL 32508-5600

Command Surgeon
HQ USCENTCOM (CCSG)
U.S. Central Command
MacDill Air Force Base FL 33608

Air University Library (AUL/LSE)
Maxwell Air Fore Base, AL 36112

U.S. Air Force Institute
of Technology (AFIT/LDEE)
Building 640, Area B
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433

Henry L. Taylor Director, Institute of Aviation University of Illinois-Willard Airport Savoy, IL 61874

COL Craig L. Urbauer, Chief Office of Army Surgeon General National Guard Bureau Washington, DC 50310-2500 Commander
U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command
ATTN: SGRD-UAX-AL (MAJ Gillette)
4300 Goodfellow Blvd., Building 105
St. Louis, MO 63120

U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command Library and Information Center Branch ATTN: AMSAV-DIL 4300 Goodfellow Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63120

Federal Aviation Administration Civil Aeromedical Institute Library AAM-400A P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, OK 73125

Commander
U.S. Army Academy
of Health Sciences
ATTN: Library
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Commander
U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research
ATTN: SGRD-USM (Jan Duke)
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200

AAMRL/HEX Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433

John A. Dellinger, Southwest Research Institute P. 0. Box 28510 San Antonio, TX 78284

Product Manager Aviation Life Support Equipment ATTN: AMCPM-ALSE 4300 Goodfellow Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63120-1798 Commander
U.S. Army Aviation
Systems Command
ATTN: AMSAV-ED
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120

Commanding Officer
Naval Biodynamics Laboratory
P.O. Box 24907
New Orleans, LA 70139-0407

Assistant Commandant
U.S. Army Field Artillery School
ATTN: Morris Swott Technical Library
Fort Sill, OK 73503-0312

Commander
U.S. Army Health Services Command
ATTN: HSOY-SO
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6000

Director of Professional Services HQ USAF/SGDT Bolling Air Force Base, DC 20332-6188

U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground Technical Library, Building 5330 Dugway, UT 84022

U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground Technical Library Yuma, AZ 85364

AFFTC Technical Library 6510 TW/TSTL Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93523--5000

Commander Code 3431 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555 Aeromechanics Laboratory
U.S. Army Research and Technical Labs
Ames Research Center, M/S 215-1
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Sixth U.S. Army ATTN: SMA Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129

Commander
U.S. Army Aeromedical Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Air Force School
of Aerospace Medicine
Strughold Aeromedical Library Technical
Reports Section (TSKD)
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5301

Dr. Diane Damos
Department of Human Factors
ISSM, USC
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0021

U.S. Army White Sands
Missile Range
ATTN: STEWS-IM-ST
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

U.S. Army Aviation Engineering
Flight Activity
ATTN: SAVTE-M (Tech Lib) Stop 217
Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93523-5000

Ms. Sandra G. Hart Ames Research Center MS 262-3 Moffett Field, CA 94035

Commander, Letterman Army Institute of Research ATTN: Medical Research Library Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129 COL Eugene S. Channing, O.D. Brooke Army Medical Center ATTN: HSHE-EAH-O Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Materiel
Development Activity
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5009

Commander
U.S. Army Aviation Center
Directorate of Combat Developments
Building 507
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U. S. Army Research Institute Aviation R&D Activity ATTN: PERI-IR Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander
U.S. Army Safety Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Army Aircraft Development
Test Activity
ATTN: STEBG-MP-P
Cairns Army Air Field
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command ATTN: SGRD-PLC (COL Sedge) Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

MAJ John Wilson
TRADOC Aviation LO
Embassy of the United States
APO New York 09777

Netherlands Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362 British Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Italian Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Directorate of Training Development Building 502 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief USAHEL/USAAVNC Field Office P. O. Box 716 Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5349

Commander U.S. Army Aviation Center and Fort Rucker ATTN: ATZQ-CG Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander/President TEXCOM Aviation Board Cairns Army Air Field Fort Rucker, AL 36362

MAJ Terry Newman Canadian Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

German Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

LTC Patrice Cottebrune French Army Liaison Office USAAVNC (Building 602) Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5021

Brazilian Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362 Australian Army Liaison Office Building 602 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Dr. Garrison Rapmund 6 Burning Tree Court Bethesda, MD 20817

Commandant Royal Air Force Institute of Aviation Medicine Farnborough Hants UK GU14 65Z Dr. A. Kornfield, President Biosearch Company 3016 Revere Road Drexel Hill, PA 29026

Commander
U.S. Army Biomedical Research
and Development Laboratory
ATTN: SGRD-UBZ-I
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandra, VA 22313

Commander, U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center AIFRTA (Davis) 220 7th Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Director,
Applied Technology Laboratory
USARTL-AVSCOM
ATTN: Library, Building 401
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command ATTN: Surgeon Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000

Aviation Medicine Clinic TMC #22, SAAF Fort Bragg, NC 28305 U.S. Air Force Armament
Development and Test Center
Eglin Air Force Base, FL 32542

Commander, U.S. Army Missile
Command
Redstone Scientific Information Center
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R/ILL
Documents Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

U.S. Army Research and Technology Laboratories (AVSCOM) Propulsion Laboratory MS 302-2 NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, OH 44135

Dr. H. Dix Christensen Bio-Medical Science Building, Room 753 Post Office Box 26901 Oklahoma City, OK 73190

Dr. Christine Schlichting Behavioral Sciences Department Box 900, NAVUBASE NLON Groton, CT 06349-5900

Commandant
Academy of Health Sciences
ATTN: HSHA-COM (LTC Huether)
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

U.S. Air Force Armament
Development and Test Center
Eglin Air Force Base, FL 32542

COL Eugene S. Channing, O.D. Brooke Army Medical Center ATTN: HSHE-EAH-O Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200